

(4)

(P)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2198 OF 2006 **Single Bench**

PETITIONER :

V. D. Pandey, aged about 59
years, Son of Late Shri Laldhari
Pandey, Working as Deputy
Ranger, Circle Ramanujganj,
District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 2229/06
Presented by Shri D.K.S. Bhawan
dated 25/4/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through:
The Secretary, Department of
Forest, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur
(C.G.).
2. The Chief Conservator of Forest,
Raipur (C.G.).
3. The Conservator of Forest,
Ambikapur, District Surguja
(C.G.).
4. The Divisional Forest Officer, East
Surguja Division Ambikapur,
District Surguja (C.G.).
5. The Collector, District Surguja,
Ambikapur, (C.G.).
6. The Range Officer, Ramanujganj,
East Surguja, Ambikapur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
25/4/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR



5

~~2~~

7. Shri Premsai Guleri, Forester,
Special Duty, Dhourpur Range,
Dhourpur, District Surguja (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE
NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish Agnihotri

Writ Petition No.2198 of 2006

V.D. Pandey

- Versus -

State of Chhattisgarh and six others

Present:

Mr. V.K. Pandey, Advocate:

For the petitioner.

Mr. Sushil Dubey, Govt. Advocate:

For the State/respondents No.1 to 6.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 1st of May, 2006)

1. The petitioner, who was employed as Deputy Ranger working at Circle Ramanujganj, District: Surguja, Chhattisgarh, was transferred by order dated 13.4.2006 (Annexure P-1) to Dhourpur Circle on special duty.
2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 13.4.2006 (Annexure P-1). It is further prayed that respondents No.1 to 4 be directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a stipulated period, in accordance with law.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, at this stage, confines his submission to prayer 7.3 of the petition only and learned counsel is not pressing prayer 7.2 of the petition for consideration.
4. In view of prayer 7.3 of the petition to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1 to 6 has no objection, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 to 4 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-12), which according to him is already pending before respondent No.4 for consideration, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/ production of a copy of this order. There will be no order as to costs.
5. Certified copy as per rules.